

2023 SCC OnLine Guj 2787

In the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad
(BEFORE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR, J.)

Rahul Bababhai Solanki

Versus

State of Gujarat

R/Criminal Misc. Application No. 12123 of 2023

Decided on September 2, 2023

Advocates who appeared in this case:

Mr. B.M. Mangukiya(437) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

Ms. Bela A. Prajapati(1946) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

Ms. D.P. Jhala, App for the Respondent(s) No. 1

The Order of the Court was delivered by

HASMUKH D. SUTHAR, J.:— By way of the present application under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, the applicant accused has prayed to release him on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with the FIR No. I- 11198007230161 of 2023 with Bagdana Police Station, Bhavnagar for the offences punishable under Sections 306, 504 and 114 of the Penal Code, 1860.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. Besides, the applicant is available during the course of investigation and will not flee from justice. Learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that the present applicant is innocent and he has not played any role. Learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that the present applicant has falsely entered in the offence. It is submitted that he is student of BDS and he has recently cleared the examination. It is submitted that there is no role attributed against the present applicant, whatever allegation is levelled against the father of the applicant is accepted, even though it appears that the husband of the complainant had taken loan from the father of the present applicant and the said amount being demanded and the said incident took place. It is submitted that there is no abetment on the part of the applicant along with his father while they were passing nearby field and he has talked with the husband, except this, no role is attributed against the present applicant. It is alleged that he caught hold the husband of the complainant. Under the instructions, it is submitted that applicant is ready and willing to join the investigation. In view of the above, the applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant, on instructions, states that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for his remand. He would further submit that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicant accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that role attributed to the present applicant is that applicant was present at the time commission of crime and offence is serious in nature. Therefore, custodial interrogation is required. Hence, she has required to dismiss the present application.

5. Having heard the learned advocate for the parties and perusing the investigation papers, it is equally incumbent upon the Court to exercise its discretion judiciously, cautiously and strictly in compliance with the basic principles laid down in a plethora of decisions of the Hon'ble Apex Court on the point. It is well settled that, among other circumstances, the factors to be borne in mind while considering an application for bail are (i) whether there is any prima facie or reasonable ground to believe that the accused had committed the offence; (ii) nature and gravity of the accusation; (iii) severity of the punishment in the event of conviction; (iv) danger of the accused absconding or fleeing, if released on bail; (v) character, behaviour, means, position and standing of the accused; (vi) likelihood of the offence being repeated; (vii) reasonable apprehension of the witnesses being influenced; and (viii) danger, of course, of justice being thwarted by grant of bail. Though at the stage of granting bail an elaborate examination of evidence and detailed reasons touching the merit of the case, which may prejudice the accused, should be avoided. I have considered the following aspects.

- (1) applicant is young student.
- (2) the allegations leveled against the present applicant is that he caught hold the husband of the complainant.
- (3) there is no proximate cause in connection with the crime being noticed.
- (4) There is no any active role or abetment being noticed on the part of the applicant.
- (5) This Court has taken care of custodial interrogation of applicant as right to seek remand of applicant is also kept open.

6. Considering the aforesaid aspects and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v.*

State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of *Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia*, (1980) 2 SCC 665 and also the decision in the case of *Sushila Aggarwal v. State (NCT of Delhi)*, (2020) 5 SCC 1, I am inclined to allow the present application.

7. In the result, the present application is allowed by directing that in the event of applicant herein being arrested in connection with the FIR No. 11198007230161 of 2023 with Bagdana Police Station, Bhavnagar, the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions that he:

- (a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;
- (b) shall remain present at the concerned Police Station on 18/09/2023 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m. and the IO shall ensure that no unnecessary harassment or inconvenience is caused to the applicant;
- (c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;
- (d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;
- (e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change his residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;
- (f) shall not leave India without the permission of the Court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the Trial Court within a week; and
- (g) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the learned Magistrate would decide it on merits;

8. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicant. The applicant shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. It is clarified that the applicant, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory

bail order.

9. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

10. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Application is disposed of accordingly. Direct service is permitted.

Disclaimer: While every effort is made to avoid any mistake or omission, this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification is being circulated on the condition and understanding that the publisher would not be liable in any manner by reason of any mistake or omission or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification. All disputes will be subject exclusively to jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and forums at Lucknow only. The authenticity of this text must be verified from the original source.