

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12028 of 2014

Dr. Veena Kumari, Daughter of Dr. Bachchu Prasad, resident of C- 13, Krishi Nagar, P.O. Ashiananagar, Police Station - Shastri Nagar, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Agricultural University Sabour, Bhagalpur through its Registrar.
2. The Vice - Chancellor, Bihar Agriculture University Sabour, Bhagalpur.
3. The Director Administration , Bihar Agriculture University Sabour, Bhagalpur
4. The officer In-Charge of the Recruitment, Bihar Agriculture University Sabour, Bhagalpur
5. The Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna
6. The Chancellor of the University, Governor Secretariat, Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Jay Prakash Sharma, AC to GP-21 Mr. Sriram Krishna, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 04-10-2023

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the University and learned counsel for the State.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for petitioner that the instant application was filed for quashing the Letter no. 1041 dated 4.7.2014 (Annexure-8) whereby the letter issued to the petitioner by the University calling the petitioner for interview to the post of Assistant Professor-cum-Junior Scientist for discipline Agriculture Economics vide Advertisement



no.06/2013 dated 4.9.2013 was illegally recalled.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the affidavits on record, in paragraph no.10 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, the following statement has been made:-

“10. That it is humbly submitted that the call letter for the interview had been held on 15.07.2014 for the post of Agriculture Economics was wrongly due to typographical/technical error.”

4. It has further been stated in paragraph no.15 of the said counter affidavit as follows:-

“15. That it is mentioned here that the petitioner namely Dr. Veena Kumari earlier moved this Hon'ble Court vide CWJC No. 1415 of 2008 for the same issue, the Hon'ble Court has passed order and rejected the grievance of the petitioner on 04.08.2011 for consideration of her candidature on the basis of her M.Sc. degree without having corresponding degree at the under graduate level on the ground that so long as the eligibility condition prescribed under Para-17.2 of RAU Act & Statute.

A photocopy of order dated 04.08.2011 vide CWJC No. 1415 of 2008 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure- "C" to this petition.”

5. In view of the above statements made in the counter affidavit, the interview which is the subject matter of the instant



application having taken place more than 8 years ago, the Court finds that nothing remains in the instant writ application for the petitioner to pursue.

6. The writ application stands disposed of.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	05.10.2023
Transmission Date	N/A

